


Exit mobile version



POLITICAL MOONSHINE



The Fall of #FalseFlagFauci

From the tranche of Fauci emails delivered by a FOIA request, which are being presented and hashed-out by many writ large, we'll narrow our focus to several important ones that bear down with impact. They are presented chronologically; labeled by date, sender and recipient; and include relevant commentary for meaning. One exception is the last email presented, which occurs out of order and last to begin the summary.

Before we get into the emails, keep an eye out for the redaction codes following each redaction. Look for something like, "(b)(4)." We'll wrap up with those for our walk-off homer.

THE EMAILS

Here is each email with relevant commentary.

31 Jan 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Kristian Anderson

From: Kristian G. Andersen (b) (6) >
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 10:32 PM
To: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)
Cc: Jeremy Farrar (b) (6) >
Subject: Re: FW: Science: Mining coronavirus genomes for clues to the outbreak's origins

Hi Tony,

Thanks for sharing. Yes, I saw this earlier today and both Eddie and myself are actually quoted in it. It's a great article, but the problem is that our phylogenetic analyses aren't able to answer whether the sequences are unusual at individual residues, except if they are completely off. On a phylogenetic tree the virus looks totally normal and the close clustering with bats suggest that bats serve as the reservoir. The unusual features of the virus make up a really small part of the genome (<0.1%) so one has to look really closely at all the sequences to see that some of the features (potentially) look engineered.

We have a good team lined up to look very critically at this, so we should know much more at the end of the weekend. I should mention that after discussions earlier today, Eddie, Bob, Mike, and myself all find the genome inconsistent with expectations from evolutionary theory. But we have to look at this much more closely and there are still further analyses to be done, so those opinions could still change.

This email represents an early indication that Fauci was knowledgeable of the bio-engineering and bio-weaponization aspects of SARS-CoV-2, which have underpinned our position for well over a year.

Any epidemiologist or virologist worth his salt and especially one who sits atop the U.S. bureaucracy as the federal government's highest paid employee, would view this through the lenses of duty and onus. In his position, Fauci bears an onus to execute his duties to establish all plausible vectors relative to the genesis of SARS-CoV-2, investigate each one thoroughly, comprehensively and fully, and then disseminate all of that information to all of the requisite and necessary parties. This would include a version appropriate for public consumption and in all versions, fulsome and factual discourse should prevail and with absolute transparency.

That doesn't work for someone running point on the epidemiological side of this construct. It also explains exactly why I wrote a massive piece outlining how this Fauci cartel was Dangerously Changing Inconvenient Rules.

That caught the attention of a U.S. federal whistleblower who formerly and directly engaged in bio-engineering (bio-weaponizing?) for the U.S. It also caught the eye of the attorney with whom he was working – the nation's foremost defamation litigator Lin Wood.

What did Fauci do, though? He kept his nose down, toed the China/CCP/Deep State

line and carried on with marching orders.

Here's brief contextual backdrop to talk about timing. I've been working on COVID since seeing the news of the initial outbreak occur in real time on 27 Dec 19. That outbreak was later revised backwards to 17 Nov 19 and whereby the FBI was detailing the release of a bio-WMD (SARS-CoV-2) in China in October 2019. I also confirmed independently with an epidemiologist that the evidence directly in hand demonstrates SARS-CoV-2 being stateside in October 2019 despite the first U.S. case being reported 20 Jan 20.

In all of that and drawing back to October 2019, the FBI ignored its own self-imposed directive to advise then President Trump's Department of Defense of the outbreak, which is directly tied to a wide swath of highly sensitive and consequential national security considerations.

Considerations like overthrown presidencies and an overthrown and occupied U.S. government thanks to an installed Biden Chinese proxy?

Let us not forget that Nancy Pelosi, who is an ex officio member of the HPSCI as per her status as Speaker of the House, was privy to all of this intelligence and what was she doing at that time?

Pelosi was driving a fraudulent impeachment 1.0 as a deliberate and intentional deflection mechanism to usher-in the construct devised to deliver pay dirt and with finality. You know it as COVID-19.

Pelosi insisted on impeaching Trump before Christmas despite having no case and cooked-up "evidence," that occurred 18 Dec 19 and the outbreak was then first reported 27 Dec 19.

After Pelosi's incompetent rush to get the Articles of Impeachment drafted, she then inexplicably slammed on the brakes and refused to transmit them to the Senate, which prevented (delayed) the start of the impeachment trial.

Why did Pelosi do that? Why was she doing it at the precise time that she and the Democrats were howling about the need for a robust national expansion in testing, which then delivered the PCR test, which then leveraged deliberately high cycle thresholds, which became the data driving mechanism for the entire pandemic, which was resting on a foundation of fraudulently harvested and propagated infection and mortality data from an average of 4.0 underlying co-morbidities. Why and

why then?

Important here is this – as far as I know, I’m the only one to think to ask this question and provide the right answer to it.

It’s as simple as this – do you think her inexplicable delay was an accident? No. Pelosi was merely tapping the brakes to bring paralleling constructs into alignment for timing on the same continuum. It’s like slowing down next to a buddy’s rig on a 2-lane highway so you throw him a cold “roadie” through the window.

So, over month into the “pandemic” and right before the Senate trial acquittal in President Trump’s impeachment, Fauci was contradicting all conventions in his field as the balance unfolded. After all, that is his job (for them.)

Trump was acquitted on the date of our next email on 05 Feb 20.

05 Feb 20 / To: Sylvia Burwell / From: Anthony Fauci

From: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Sent: Wed, 5 Feb 2020 03:48:11 +0000
To: Sylvia Burwell
Subject: RE: A couple of quick questions.

Sylvia:

Masks are really for infected people to prevent them from spreading infection to people who are not infected rather than protecting uninfected people from acquiring infection. The typical mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective in keeping out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material. It might, however, provide some slight benefit in keep out gross droplets if someone coughs or sneezes on you. I do not recommend that you wear a mask, particularly since you are going to a very low risk location. Your instincts are correct, money is best spent on medical countermeasures such as diagnostics and vaccines.

Safe travels.

Best regards,
Tony

Everyone is aware of the mask mandate being completely antithetical to the preexisting and conventionally accepted history. Moreover, there is no replicable and peer-reviewed science supporting any such mask mandate.

In light of Fauci’s recommendation to not wear a mask, he can be held in only two specific regards and there are no other acceptable ways according to evidence: 1)

Fauci was providing the accurate and conventionally acceptable information with fidelity -or- 2) Fauci was adhering to the construct's directive of deliberately driving-up the transmission rate for SARS-CoV-2 to serve the front end of the pandemic construct; just like the governors' decision to wittingly and deliberately place ill patients in nursing homes.

It's nice that he also plugged his vaccine to her. What a guy.

15 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Mark Zuckerberg

From: Mark Zuckerberg (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2020 12:18 PM
To: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)>
Subject: Thanks and ideas

Tony:

I wanted to send a note of thanks for your leadership and everything you're doing to make our country's response to this outbreak as effective as possible. I also wanted to share a few ideas of ways we could help you get your message out, but I understand you're incredibly busy, so don't feel a need to reply unless these seem interesting.

This isn't public yet, but we're building a Coronavirus Information Hub that we're going to put at the top of Facebook for everyone (200+ million Americans, 2.5 billion people worldwide) with two goals: (1)

NIH-000468

make sure people can get authoritative information from reliable sources and (2) encourage people to practice social distance and give people ideas for doing this using internet tools. This will be live within the next 48 hours.

As a central part of this hub, I think it would be useful to include a video from you because people trust and want to hear from experts rather than just a bunch of agencies and political leaders. This could be done in a number of formats if you're open to it. Probably best would be recording a Q&A where you answer people's top questions, but we'd be open to other formats too.

I'm also doing a series of livestreamed Q&As with health experts to try to use my large following on the platform (100 million followers) to get authoritative information out as well. I'd love to have you do one of these Q&As. This could be the video we put in the Coronavirus Hub or it could be a different thing that we distribute separately, but I think it could be effective as well.

Finally, (b) (4)

Again, I know you're incredibly busy, so don't feel the need to respond if this doesn't seem helpful. If it's easy to talk live, give me a call anytime on my mobile phone: (b) (6).

Thanks again for everything you're doing.

Mark

Quite simply and building on the millions that Zuckerberg poured into electoral politics for 2020, we have confirmation of the three components President Trump always cites and which I revisit often: BIG MONEY, BIG MEDIA and BIG TECH.

When people and entities overlap in multiple domains like locations, times, contexts,

etc., it's indicative of plausible fraud, complicity, criminality, etc.; dependent upon the facts. This is why so much of my analytical work is rooted in overlays.

This emails serves-up overlaps in our broader premise and provides tangible evidence where we see these components overlapping for Zuckerberg in the election, COVID-19 and censorship, etc.; and in full thrust of the Deep State's well known and demonstrable agenda.

There is no other logical explanation for Zuckerberg than his full complicity along this broader continuum.

16 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Courtney Billet (2nd)

17 Mar 20 / To: Courtney Billet / From: Anthony Fauci (1st)

From: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Sent: Tue, 17 Mar 2020 00:23:16 +0000
To: Billet, Courtney (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Cc: Folkers, Greg (NIH/NIAID) [E]; Conrad, Patricia (NIH/NIAID) [E]; Stover, Kathy (NIH/NIAID) [E]; Routh, Jennifer (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Subject: RE: offer from Mark Zuckerberg

I will write to or call Mark and tell him that I am interested in doing this. I will then tell him that you will get for him the name of the USG point of contact. I agree it should be Bill Hall who could then turf to the White House Comms if he wishes

From: Billet, Courtney (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6) >
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2020 6:53 PM
To: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] <(b) (6) >
Cc: Folkers, Greg (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6); Conrad, Patricia (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6); Stover, Kathy (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6); Routh, Jennifer (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6) >
Subject: ASF: offer from Mark Zuckerberg

Per email below, Mark Zuckerberg has extended a few offers to do videos with you that we would be happy to seek clearance on for you to do, if you are amenable. These would have the weight and impact of television – really, more so. Please advise if you want to do and we will seek clearance with VP office and work with Patty to sort out the logistics.

But an even bigger deal is his offer (b) (4)

The sooner we get that offer up the food-chain the better. I gave Bill Hall a heads-up about this opportunity and he is standing by to discuss this with HHS and WH comms, but I didn't want him to do anything without you being aware of the offer. Is it OK if I hand this aspect off to Bill to determine who the best point of contact would be so the Administration can take advantage of this offer, soonest?

Do you plan to call MZ? His cell number is in his message below.

These emails demonstrate a broader effort in the partnership between Fauci and Facebook/Zuckerberg and show confirmation of Fauci's intent and will to engage with Facebook.

17 Mar 20 / To: Mark Zuckerberg / From: Anthony Fauci

From: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]
Sent: Tue, 17 Mar 2020 00:22:45 +0000
To: Mark Zuckerberg
Cc: Conrad, Patricia (NIH/NIAID) [E];Billet, Courtney (NIH/NIAID) [E];Barasch, Kimberly (NIH/NIAID) [C]
Subject: RE: Thanks and ideas

Mark:

Thank you for your kind note. I tried to call you, but got voice mail. FYI, my cell phone number is (b) (6). Your idea and proposal sound terrific. I would be happy to do a video for your hub. We need to reach as many people as possible and convince them to take mitigation strategies seriously or things will get much, much worse. Also, your idea about (b) (4) is very exciting. I am copying my Special Assistant, Patty Conrad. Her office number is (b) (6) (b) (6). Please have your people contact her to arrange for the video. I am also copying the Director of my Communications and Government Relations group. She can put your people in contact with the best person who could be the US Government point of contact for (b) (4).
Best regards,
Tony

Further evidence of a deeper and more entangled conspiratorial relationship between Fauci and Zuckerberg/Facebook relative to COVID-19 and influencing public thought and opinion; especially in ways antithetical to existing and accepted science or in ways considered propagandized.

18 Apr 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Peter Daszak

From: Peter Daszak (b) (5)
Sent: Saturday, April 18, 2020 9:43 PM
To: Morens, David (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (5); Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (5);
Cc: Stemmy, Erik (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (5); Erbelding, Emily (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (5); Aleksei Chmura (b) (5)
Subject: Thank you for your public comments re COVID-19's origins
Importance: High

Tony (cc'ing David so that you might pass this on to Tony once he has a spare second)

As the PI of the R01 grant publicly targeted by Fox News reporters at the Presidential press briefing last night, I just wanted to say a personal thankyou on behalf of our staff and collaborators, for publicly standing up and stating that the scientific evidence supports a natural origin for COVID-19 from a bat-to-human spillover, not a lab release from the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

(b) (7)(A)

From my perspective, your comments are brave, and coming from your trusted voice, will help dispel the myths being spun around the virus' origins.

Once this pandemic's over I look forward thanking you in person and let you know how important your comments are to us all.

Cheers,

Peter

This emails is significant for a spectrum of critical reasons:

- Fauci and another are represented as conspiring to deliver a known fraudulent narrative via the MSM
- This overlaps the precise mid-April 2020 shift when the CDC/WHO cohort moved the conventionally accepted pandemic measurement – mortality data – which was in decline at that time, to infection data, or “new case data” and so as to drag the pandemic through the seasonal die-off of the co-morbidities being statistically leveraged and right back to peak-flu of 2020-2021, which the CDC begins every December 1st
- Fauci is shown crafting the narrative to comport with the forthcoming anticipated explosion of cases as constructively delivered by deliberately faulty PCR testing that the Democrats began calling for dating back to January 2020
- It is relative to the legendary April 2020 news conference that I mention often and for which it seems most people missed the most important angle whereby

President Trump dragged Fauci back to the podium and forced him to correct his own record and in so doing, Trump compartmentalized all of the COVID-19 matter entirely within the Fauci/Pence cohort (pandemic response team), which effectively usurped the President's emergency powers with the 11 Mar 20 declaration of a national emergency.

11 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Adam Gaertner

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wed, 11 Mar 2020 06:19:13 -0400
To: NIAID Public Inquiries
Subject: Fwd: Coronavirus bioweapon production method

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Adam Gaertner (b) (6)
Date: March 11, 2020 at 6:16:40 AM EDT
To: "Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]" (b) (6) >
Subject: Coronavirus bioweapon production method

Hello Anthony,

This is how the virus was created.

Intervirion Fusion. HIV-luc(ACE2) (500 ng of p24) was mixed with 1,000 ng of p24 of HIV-gfp particles incorporating ASLV-A envelope, SARS-CoV S protein, or both envelopes in PBS at 4°C for 30 min to allow binding. Samples were raised to 37°C for 15 min to allow for conformational rearrangements. Virions were adjusted to the desired pH with 0.1 M citric acid. PBS, TPCK-trypsin (final concentration 10 µg/ml), CTSL, cathepsin B (CTSB) (final concentrations 2 µg/ml) or CTSL buffer alone was then added. Recombinant CTSL (R &D Systems) was preactivated by incubation for 15 min at 10 µg/ml in 50 mM Mes, pH 6.0, on ice. Recombinant CTSB (R &D Systems) was preactivated in 25 mM Mes, 5 mM DTT, pH 5.0, for 30 min at 25°C. After a 10-min incubation at 25°C, proteolysis was halted by the addition of 300 µl of DMEM10 containing leupeptin (25 µg/ml) and STI (75 µg/ml). Virions were then incubated at 37°C for 30 min to allow membrane fusion. 100 µl of the virion mixture was added in quadruplicate to HeLa-Tva cells pretreated for 1 h with leupeptin (20 µg/ml). The cells were spin-infected and incubated at 37°C for 5 h

Note the date of this email. Re-read the last sentence in the previous entry and note that date. Now take note of the context of the email.

None of the emails have as much impact as this one. Setting aside some of the granular details and focusing on why Fauci would move forward with the declaration of a national emergency knowing the full scope of the impact and specific governmental consequences, it seems there's no good answer beyond the deliberate, intentional, purposeful, constructive and treasonous usurpation.

The best evidence of that is what it delivered: a wrecked economy, a wrecked society, an overthrown president, an overthrown U.S. government and the installation of a Chinese proxy in Joe Biden.

Fauci did that because it was his job. The redaction codes will offer more evidence of this.

THE REDACTION CODES

Here are the redaction codes in the order in which they appear along with their corresponding translations:

31 Jan 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Kristian Anderson

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

15 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Mark Zuckerberg

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

(b)(4) – Reveal information that would impair the application of state-of-the-art technology within a U.S. weapon system

16 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Courtney Billet (2nd)

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

(b)(4) – Reveal information that would impair the application of state-of-the-art technology within a U.S. weapon system

17 Mar 20 / To: Mark Zuckerberg / From: Anthony Fauci

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

(b)(4) – Reveal information that would impair the application of state-of-the-art technology within a U.S. weapon system

18 Apr 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Peter Daszak

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

(b)(4) – Reveal information that would impair the application of state-of-the-art technology within a U.S. weapon system

(b)(7)(A) Reveal information that would impair the current ability of United States Government officials to protect the President, Vice President, and other protectees for whom protection services, in the interest of the national security, are authorized

11 Mar 20 / To: Anthony Fauci / From: Adam Gaertner

(b)(6) – Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States

In succinct summary, I've put down volumes of research, analysis and evidence in support of the position that we are in the midst of an asymmetrical and undeclared third world war that began when China/CCP/PLA released a bio-WMD against the U.S. and the world altogether and in all of that, Fauci is running point on the epidemiological side of the construct in the U.S. Now, go back up through the redaction codes and contrast this position with the vernacular and terms applied.

No leap is required. It's a direct bridge. All that's left is having the gumption to drop safeguards against ones own conventions and right alongside the will to walk across it for full understanding.

-End-

SHARE THIS:



TRUMP UNDOES FAUCI
AND MSM IN ONE
LEGENDARY PRESSER
THAT PROVES COVID-19
IS A FALSE FLAG
POLITICAL CONSTRUCT
April 14, 2020
In "China"

EXPLOSIVE - F.B.I. KNEW
OF EARLY COVID-19
OUTBREAK BUT NOT
TRUMP'S D.O.D.
April 9, 2020
In "China"

Wuhan Lab Is
Conspiracy No More?
May 23, 2021
In "China"

PUBLISHED BY

Political Moonshine

"WE ARE HERE AND IT IS NOW. FURTHER THAN THAT, ALL HUMAN KNOWLEDGE IS MOONSHINE." – H.L. Mencken [View all posts by Political Moonshine →](#)

📅 June 3, 2021 👤 Political Moonshine 📁 China, Coup D'etat, COVID-19 Coronavirus, Politics ✎ featured

2 thoughts on “The Fall of #FalseFlagFauci”

Ellie

June 4, 2021 at 6:42 am

Time to bring public hangings back to the town square.

John Green

June 4, 2021 at 6:57 am

For the email between Fauci and Daszak on 4/18/20, (B)(7)(a) I believe the National Archives are the incorrect codes for these redactions. Please see: <https://vault.fbi.gov/explanation-of-exemptions> “(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could be reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings”.

A WordPress.com Website.

%%footer%%